

QUANTITATIVE METHODS IN RESEARCH PROJECTS ASSESSMENT

Narcisa CIOBOTAR
Constantin MITRUȚ

Academy of Economic Studies, Bucharest
Dorobanților street 15th– 17th, 1th sector
cnarcisa@ase.ro

Abstract. In this paper it proposed an indicators system for scientific research performance ex-post evaluation based on results indicators. This approach ensures the integration of indicators system in policy and strategy R&D and Innovation. The paper is based on case study developed for Bucharest Academy of Economic Studies.

Keywords: Scientific production, indicators system, methodology, evaluation, performance.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

1. Fahrenkrog G., Polt G, Rojo J., Tubke A., Zinocher K. (2002) RTD Evaluation Toolbox - Assessing the Socio-Economic Impact of RTD-Policies, <http://epub.jrc.es/docs/EUR-20382-EN.pdf>.
2. Gheorgiou, L., Rigby, AJ and Cameron, HM. (eds), (2002), Assessment of the Socio-economic Impact of the Framework Programme, available from <http://www.cordis.lu/>
3. Kusek J., Khatouri M. (2006), Results-based Monitoring and Evaluation in Bank projects, HD Learning Week, November 6.
4. OECD (2002) Proposed standard practice for surveys of research and experimental development – Frascati manual.
5. Robert S. Kaplan and David Norton Strategy Maps: Converting Intangible Assets into tangible Outcomes Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 2004
6. SEI (2004) Applications of the Indicator Template for Measurement and Analysis, CMU/SEI-2004-TN-024.
7. Seppanen V. (2000), Competence change in Contract R&D: Analysis of project nets, VTT, ESPOO.
8. Technopolis France (2002), Use of Evaluation in the Commission Services, http://europa.eu.int/comm/budget/evaluation/pdf/use_of%20evaluation_final_report.pdf